Mixing Colour(ing)s in Graphs

JAN VAN DEN HEUVEL

Centre for Discrete and Applicable Mathematics
Department of Mathematics

London School of Economics and Political Science


Wish we were there ...

or there …

- reporting on research by : PAUL BONSMA (Twente)

  LUIS CERECEDA (LSE)

  JVDH (LSE)

  and MATTHEW JOHNSON (Durham)

  - in several different combinations

- and it all started with a question of

  HAJO BROERSMA (Durham)

First definitions

- *graph* $G = (V, E)$: finite, simple, no loops, n vertices

- *k-colouring* of G : proper vertex-colouring using colours from $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$
  - we always assume $k \geq \chi(G)$
  - we use $\alpha, \beta, \ldots$ to indicate k-colourings

- *k-colour graph* $\mathcal{C}(G; k)$
  - vertices are the k-colourings of G
  - two k-colourings are adjacent if they differ in the colour on exactly one vertex of G

Some examples

- 2-colour graph for K_2 :

- 3-colour graph for K_2 :



Central question

General question

- Given G and k, what can we say about the colour graph $\mathcal{C}(G;k)$?

In particular

- is $\mathcal{C}(G;k)$ connected?

  good way to think about it:

  - can we go from any k-colouring to any other k-colouring by recolouring one vertex at the time?

Terminology: $\mathcal{C}(G;k)$ is connected $\iff$ G is k-mixing

Research on $\mathcal{C}(G;k)$

- little research in pure graph theory

- related to work in theoretical physics on
  Glauber dynamics of k-state anti-ferromagnetic Potts models at zero temperature

- related to work in theoretical computer science on
  Markov chain Monte Carlo methods for generating random k-colourings ("rapid mixing")

Some first results on mixing

- $k \geq \Delta(G) + 2 \implies$ G is k-mixing ("Well-known")

- $\underline{D(G)} = \max\{\, \delta(H) \mid H \subseteq G \,\}$ (<u>degeneracy</u>)

<u>Property</u>

- $k \geq D(G) + 2 \implies$ G is k-mixing (Dyer, et al., 2004)

<u>Theorem</u>

- $k \geq D(G) + 3 \implies$ $\mathcal{C}(G; k)$ is hamiltonian

(Choo & MacGillivray, 2006)

Extremal graphs for the degree bounds

- "boring" extremal graph : complete graph K_m
  - $\Delta(K_m)+1 = D(K_m)+1 = m$
  - all m-colourings look the same :
  - no vertex can change colour



Terminology

- frozen k-colouring : colouring in which no vertex can change colour
  - frozen colourings form isolated vertices in $\mathcal{C}(G;k)$
  - immediately mean G is not k-mixing

The case for planar graphs

- G planar $\Longrightarrow$ $D(T) \leq 5$ $\Longrightarrow$ k-mixing for $k \geq 7$
- best possible :



frozen 6-colouring of the icosahedron

More interesting extremal graphs

- graph L_m : $K_{m,m}$ minus a perfect matching ($m \geq 3$)
  - $\Delta(L_m) + 1 = D(L_m) + 1 = m$



  - has frozen m -colourings – hence L_m is not m -mixing

- **so** :
  bipartite graphs can be non-k-mixing for arbitrarily large k

More interesting properties of L_m

- non-k-mixing for $k = m$ colours
- but k-mixing for $3 \leq k \leq m - 1$
  - suppose L_m coloured with $k \leq m - 1$ colours

More interesting properties of L_m

- non-k-mixing for $k = m$ colours
- but k-mixing for $3 \le k \le m - 1$
  - suppose L_m coloured with $k \le m - 1$ colours



  - some colour c must appear more than once on the top

More interesting properties of L_m

- non-k-mixing for $k = m$ colours
- but k-mixing for $3 \leq k \leq m-1$
  - suppose L_m coloured with $k \leq m-1$ colours



  - that colour c can't appear among the bottom vertices

More interesting properties of L_m

- non-k-mixing for $k = m$ colours
- but k-mixing for $3 \leq k \leq m - 1$
  - suppose L_m coloured with $k \leq m - 1$ colours



  - so all vertices on the top can be recoloured to c

More interesting properties of L_m

- non-k-mixing for $k = m$ colours
- but k-mixing for $3 \leq k \leq m-1$
  - suppose L_m coloured with $k \leq m-1$ colours



  - then the bottom can be recoloured to some $c' \neq c$

More interesting properties of L_m

- non-k-mixing for $k = m$ colours
- but k-mixing for $3 \le k \le m-1$
    - suppose L_m coloured with $k \le m-1$ colours



    - hence any colouring is connected to a 2-colouring
    - easy to see that all these 2-colourings are connected

More interesting properties of L_m

- non-k-mixing for $k = m$ colours
- but k-mixing for $3 \le k \le m-1$
  - suppose L_m coloured with $k \le m-1$ colours



  - hence any colouring is connected to a 2-colouring
  - easy to see that all these 2-colourings are connected

- **<u>so</u>** : mixing is not a monotone property

Mixing for small values of k

- smallest possible is $k = \chi(G)$
- $\chi(G) = 1$: graph without edges – boring
- $\chi(G) = 2$: bipartite graph with at least one edge
  - not-mixing for $k = 2$:



can't become



- $\chi(G) = 3$: 3-colourable graph with at least one odd cycle

The case $k = \chi = 3$

- cycle C_3 has six 3-colourings, all frozen

  $\Longrightarrow$ C_3 is not 3-mixing

- cycle C_5 has 30 3-colourings, none of them frozen
  - the colour graph $\mathcal{C}(C_5; 3)$ is formed of two 15-cycles

    $\Longrightarrow$ C_5 is not 3-mixing

Theorem

- $\chi(G) = 3 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad G$ is not 3-mixing

Proof looks at 3-colourings of cycles

- suppose α is a 3-colouring of G
  and C is a cycle in G
  - choose an orientation $\overrightarrow{C}$ of the cycle
  - weight of an arc of $\overrightarrow{C}$:

    $\boxed{+\mathbf{1}}$ if $1 \to 2$ or $2 \to 3$ or $3 \to 1$

    $\boxed{-\mathbf{1}}$ if $2 \to 1$ or $3 \to 2$ or $1 \to 3$

  - weight of the oriented cycle :

    $w(\overrightarrow{C};\alpha)$ $=$ sum of the weights of the arcs

Proof looks at 3-colourings of cycles

- Example :



$$w(\overrightarrow{C};\alpha) = -3$$

Weights of 3-colourings of cycles

- recolour one vertex to go from $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ to $\boldsymbol{\beta}$

e.g.:



$$\Longrightarrow \quad w(\overrightarrow{C};\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = w(\overrightarrow{C};\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

Property

- $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ connected by a path in $\mathcal{C}(G;3)$

$$\Longrightarrow \quad \text{for all cycles } C \text{ in } G:\ w(\overrightarrow{C};\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = w(\overrightarrow{C};\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

Weights of 3-colourings of cycles

- given 3-colouring α, form α^* by swapping colours 1 and 2

  $\Longrightarrow$ all arcs change sign

  $\Longrightarrow$ so for all C in G : $w(\overrightarrow{C};\alpha^*) = -w(\overrightarrow{C};\alpha)$

<u>**now**</u>: take 3-chromatic graph G with a 3-colouring α,
and take an odd cycle C in G

- $\Longrightarrow$ $w(\overrightarrow{C};\alpha) \neq 0$ (odd sum of $+1$s and -1s)

  $\Longrightarrow$ $w(\overrightarrow{C};\alpha^*) = -w(\overrightarrow{C};\alpha) \neq w(\overrightarrow{C};\alpha)$

  $\Longrightarrow$ α and α^* not connected in $\mathcal{C}(G;3)$

  $\Longrightarrow$ $\mathcal{C}(G;3)$ not connected

Mixing for larger values of $k = \chi$

- $\chi(G) = 2 \implies G$ is not 2-mixing
- $\chi(G) = 3 \implies G$ is not 3-mixing
- What about $k \geq 4$?
- complete graph K_k has frozen k-colourings

  so: G has K_k as a subgraph $\implies$ G not k-mixing

Mixing for larger values of $k = \chi$

- Hajos' graph H_m $(m \geq 3)$



- has $\chi(H_m) = m$
- and is m-mixing for $m \geq 4$
- **so**: graphs with $k = \chi(G) \geq 4$
  can be k-mixing or not k-mixing

Decision problems

k-MIXING

Input: graph G

Question: is G k-mixing ?

- probably very hard, since finding one k-colouring of a graph G is probably very hard, even if we know $k \geq \chi(G)$

Maybe easier:

BIPARTITE-k-MIXING

Input: bipartite graph G

Question: is G k-mixing ?

Is a given bipartite graph k-mixing ?

- trivial for $k = 2$ ("yes" if and only if G has no edges)

necessary for $k = 3$:

- for all 3-colourings α and cycles C in G : $w(\overrightarrow{C};\alpha) = 0$

Theorem

- the condition is also **sufficient** for a graph to be 3-mixing

- **so** : BIPARTITE-3-MIXING is in coNP
- certificate for not 3-mixing :
  3-colouring α and cycle C in G with $w(\overrightarrow{C};\alpha) \neq 0$

A structural certificate for bipartite non-3-mixing

- pinch of two vertices at distance 2 :



- G pinchable to H : sequence of pinches changes G to H

Theorem

- connected bipartite G is not 3-mixing

  $\iff$ G is pinchable to a chordless 6-cycle

Why the 6-cycle ?

- $C_6 \cong L_3$ – so C_6 is not 3-mixing



- **note** : C_4 is 3-mixing

Deciding bipartite mixing

- bipartite G not 3-mixing $\iff$ G pinchable to C_6

Theorem (we think)

- deciding pinchability to C_6 is NP-complete

hence

- BIPARTITE-3-MIXING is coNP-complete

Theorem

- BIPARTITE-3-MIXING is polynomial for planar graphs

open : what happens for $k \geq 4$?

A decision problem for general graphs

k-COLOUR-PATH

Input: graph G and two k-colourings α and β

Question: is there is a path in $\mathcal{C}(G;k)$ from α to β ?

or: "are α and β connected?"

- this question might be doable for any k
- trivially decidable for $k = 2$

Connected 3-colourings

- **necessary condition 1**

  for two 3-colourings $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ to be connected :

  - for all cycles C in G : $w(\overrightarrow{C};\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = w(\overrightarrow{C};\boldsymbol{\beta})$

- but **not sufficient** :



$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$



$\boldsymbol{\beta}$

Connected 3-colourings

- fixed vertex of a colouring : can never change colour

examples



- **necessary condition 2**

  for two 3-colourings α and β to be connected :

  - all fixed vertices in α must be fixed in β as well and must have the same colour in both

Connected 3-colourings

- a path P with two fixed end vertices
  can also be given a weight $w(\overrightarrow{P};\alpha)$



- and this weight stays the same when recolouring

- **necessary condition 3**
  for two 3-colourings α and β to be connected :
  - for all paths P with fixed ends : $w(\overrightarrow{P};\alpha) = w(\overrightarrow{P};\beta)$

Connected 3-colourings

- two 3-colourings α and β can only be connected if :
  - for all cycles C : $w(\overrightarrow{C};\alpha) = w(\overrightarrow{C};\beta)$
  - for all paths P with fixed ends : $w(\overrightarrow{P};\alpha) = w(\overrightarrow{P};\beta)$
  - the sets of fixed vertices in α and β must be identical

Theorem

- the conditions above are also **sufficient**
- the conditions can be checked in polynomial time

and

- if connected, then there is a path of length $O(n^2)$

k-COLOUR-PATH for $k \geq 4$

Theorem

- for $k \geq 4$, k-COLOUR-PATH is PSPACE-complete

PSPACE

- decision problems that can be solved using a polynomial amount of memory (no restrictions on time)
- contains NP and coNP
- equal to its non-deterministic variant NPSPACE

k-COLOUR-PATH for $k \geq 4$

Theorem

k-COLOUR-PATH for bipartite, planar graphs :

- $k = 2$: trivially decidable
- $k = 3$: decidable in polynomial time
- $k = 4$: PSPACE-complete
- $k \geq 5$: always “YES”

Length of paths between connected colourings

Theorem

- for $k \geq 4$, k-COLOUR-PATH is PSPACE-complete
- if NP $\neq$ PSPACE (similar status as P $\neq$ NP), then no PSPACE-complete problem should have polynomial length certificates

so: for $k \geq 4$ path length between two connected k-colourings should not always be polynomial

Length of paths between connected colourings

Theorem

- for all $k \geq 4$, there exists graphs G with two k-colourings α and β so that
  - α and β are connected
  - the shortest path from α to β has exponential length

- the graphs can be bipartite
- and for $k = 4$ even bipartite and planar

Something different : using extra colours

- given a graph G and two k-colourings α and β
- suppose we can "buy" extra colours to go from α to β

how many extra colours do we need ?

Theorem

- $\chi(G) - 1$ extra colours is always enough

$\chi - 1$ *extra colours are always enough*

sketch of the proof

- take a χ-colouring using colours $-1, -2, \ldots, -\chi$
  say with colour-classes $V_{-1}, V_{-2}, \ldots, V_{-\chi}$
- starting with the k-colouring α (using colours $1, 2, \ldots, k$)
  - recolour vertices in V_{-1} with colour -1
  - recolour vertices in V_{-2} with colour -2
  - etc., until vertices in $V_{-(\chi-1)}$ with colour $-(\chi - 1)$
- the remaining vertices in $V_{-\chi}$ form an independent set
  - hence can be recoloured to their colours according to β
- now recolour vertices in $V_{-1} \cup V_{-2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{-(\chi-1)}$
  according to β as well

$\chi - 1$ *extra colours may be needed*

Theorem

- for all C, k with $k \geq C \geq 2$
  there exists graphs G with $\chi(G) = C$
  and two k-colourings α and β so that
  - to get from α to β requires $C - 1$ extra colours





the graph for $C = 3$ and $k = 5$



Thank you for your attention.