

A REMARK ON \mathcal{H}^1 MARTINGALES

HARDY HULLEY AND JOHANNES RUF

ABSTRACT. The space of \mathcal{H}^1 martingales is interesting because of its duality with the space of BMO martingales. It is straightforward to show that every \mathcal{H}^1 martingale is a uniformly integrable martingale. However, the converse is not true. That is to say, some uniformly integrable martingales are not \mathcal{H}^1 martingales. This brief note provides a template for systematically constructing such processes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space, whose filtration $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is right-continuous. By assumption, all processes are defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and have càdlàg sample paths. The families of local martingales and uniformly integrable martingales are denoted by \mathcal{M}_{loc} and \mathcal{M} , respectively. Given $p \geq 1$, let \mathcal{H}^p be the family of local martingales $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}$, for which $\mathbb{E}([M]_{\infty}^{p/2}) < \infty$. Then \mathcal{H}^p forms a Banach space, when endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$, defined by

$$\|M\|_p := \left(\mathbb{E}([M]_{\infty}^{p/2}) \right)^{1/p},$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{H}^p$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{\text{loc}}^p$ denote the family of local martingales that are locally in \mathcal{H}^p .

The space \mathcal{H}^1 has several interesting features. For example, \mathcal{H}^1 contains \mathcal{H}^2 as well as all local martingales with integrable variation (see e.g Protter 2005, Theorem IV.49). It can also be shown that \mathcal{H}^2 and the family of bounded (uniformly integrable) martingales are both dense in \mathcal{H}^1 (see e.g Protter 2005, Theorem IV.50). In addition, $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\text{loc}}^1$ (see e.g Protter 2005, Theorem IV.51). However, the most significant result is the duality between \mathcal{H}^1 and the space of BMO martingales. In detail, let

$$\text{BMO} := \left\{ M \in \mathcal{H}^2 \mid \mathbb{E}((M_{\infty} - M_{\tau-})^2) \leq c^2, \text{ for all } \tau \in \mathfrak{G} \text{ and some } c \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\},$$

where \mathfrak{G} denotes the family of stopping times defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and subject to the convention $M_{0-} := 0$, for all $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}$. Then BMO becomes a Banach space, when endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{BMO}}$, defined by

$$\|M\|_{\text{BMO}} := \sup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{G}} \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}((M_{\infty} - M_{\tau-})^2)}{\mathbb{P}(\tau < \infty)}},$$

for all $M \in \text{BMO}$. It follows that $(\mathcal{H}^1)^* \simeq \text{BMO}$ (see e.g Protter 2005, Theorem IV.55).¹

Now, suppose $M \in \mathcal{H}^1$, in which case the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequalities (see e.g Protter 2005, Theorem IV.48) imply that $\mathbb{E}(\sup_{t \geq 0} |M_t|) < \infty$. An application of the dominated convergence theorem then gives $M \in \mathcal{M}$. This establishes that $\mathcal{H}^1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

Date: October 19, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 60G44.

¹This result is the probability-theoretic analogue of the classical H^1 -BMO duality, due to Fefferman and Stein (1972).

However, the reverse inclusion does not hold. We demonstrate this fact below, by providing a recipe for constructing non-negative uniformly integrable martingales in $\mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{H}^1$.

2. CONSTRUCTION OF PROCESSES IN $\mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{H}^1$

Given a local martingale $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}$, observe that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t \geq 0} |M_t|\right) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \geq 0} |M_t| > u\right) du$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \geq 0} |M_t| > n\right) \leq \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \geq 0} |M_t| > u\right) du \leq 1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \geq 0} |M_t| > n\right).$$

Since $M \in \mathcal{H}^1$ if and only if $\mathbb{E}(\sup_{t \geq 0} |M_t|) < \infty$, by virtue of the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequalities, it follows that $M \in \mathcal{H}^1$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \geq 0} |M_t| > n\right) < \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

This condition plays a key role in our construction.

Fix a non-negative local martingale $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$ that is not a uniformly integrable martingale, and define the non-decreasing sequence $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (1, \infty)$, by setting

$$c_n := \ln\left(e + \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \geq 0} M_t > k\right)\right), \quad (2.2)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $M \notin \mathcal{H}^1$, it follows that $\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} c_n = \infty$. Next, suppose that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ accommodates a discrete \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable $Y \in \mathbb{N}$ that is independent of M , and whose distribution satisfies $\mathbb{P}(Y > n) = 1/c_n$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let

$$\sigma := \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid M_t > Y\} \quad (2.3)$$

denote the first time M exceeds Y . It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \geq 0} M_t^\sigma > n\right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \geq 0} M_t > n\right) \mathbb{P}(Y > n) = \frac{1}{c_n} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \geq 0} M_t > n\right),$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently,

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \geq 0} M_t^\sigma > n\right) \geq \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_m} \sum_{n=1}^m \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \geq 0} M_t > n\right) = \lim_{m \uparrow \infty} \frac{e^{c_m} - e}{c_m} = \infty,$$

since $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-decreasing and $\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} c_n = \infty$. This implies that $M^\sigma \notin \mathcal{H}^1$. On the other hand, the almost sure limit $M_\infty^\sigma := M_{\infty-}^\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$ exists, since M^σ is a non-negative local martingale, and hence also a non-negative supermartingale. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(M_\infty^\sigma) &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{E}(M_\infty^\sigma \mid Y = n) \mathbb{P}(Y = n) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{E}(M_\infty^{\tau_n} \mid Y = n) \mathbb{P}(Y = n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{E}(M_\infty^{\tau_n}) \mathbb{P}(Y = n) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{E}(M_0) \mathbb{P}(Y = n) = \mathbb{E}(M_0^\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tau_n := \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid M_t > n\}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, the penultimate equality follows from the fact that $M^{\tau_n} \in \mathcal{M}$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $M^\sigma \in \mathcal{M}$.

Example 2.1. Consider a non-negative local martingale $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}$ that belongs to Class (\mathcal{C}_0) , according to the terminology of Nikeghbali and Yor (2006), and suppose that $M_0 = 1$. In that case, M is a strictly positive local martingale without any positive jumps, for which $M_\infty := M_{\infty-} = 0$. The construction above is then applicable, since $E(M_\infty) = 0 < 1 = E(M_0)$ implies that $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$. Moreover, an application of Doob's maximal identity (see Nikeghbali and Yor 2006, Lemma 2.1) provides the following concrete representation for the non-decreasing sequence $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, defined by (2.2):

$$c_n = \ln \left(e + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \right),$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is then straightforward to see that $\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} c_n = \infty$, which is the crucial ingredient for showing that $M^\sigma \notin \mathcal{H}^1$, where the stopping time σ is given by (2.3).

Note that the previous construction requires \mathcal{F}_0 to be sufficiently large to admit an \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable Y , independent of M and such that $P(Y > n)$ can be defined appropriately, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Example 2.2 below demonstrates that the construction above does always not work without this requirement. That is to say, given a non-negative local martingale $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$, there may be no stopping time $\tau \in \mathfrak{G}$, such that $M^\tau \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{H}^1$.

Example 2.2. Let $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables satisfying $P(\theta_1 = 0) = P(\theta_1 = 1) = 1/2$. Define the process $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$, by setting $M_t := 2^{[t]} \prod_{i=1}^{[t]} \theta_i$, where $[\cdot]$ are the Gauss brackets. Note that M is a martingale under its own filtration $\mathfrak{F}^M = (\mathcal{F}_t^M)_{t \geq 0}$. However, it is not uniformly integrable, since $M_\infty = 0$. Consequently, $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_t^M = \sigma(\theta_1, \theta_1 \theta_2, \dots, \prod_{i=1}^{[t]} \theta_i)$, for all $t \geq 0$. In particular, $\mathcal{F}_0^M = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$. We now claim that $M^\tau \in (\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}} \setminus \mathcal{M}) \cup \mathcal{H}^1$, for all \mathfrak{F}^M -stopping times τ . This implies that the above construction does not work unless \mathfrak{F}_0^M is enriched. Indeed, fix an arbitrary \mathfrak{F}^M -stopping time τ , and assume that $M^\tau \in \mathcal{M}$. We then have to show that $M \in \mathcal{H}^1$. To see this, note that

$$n_* := \min \{ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid P(\tau \leq n \text{ and } M_\tau > 0) > 0 \} < \infty,$$

otherwise, $M^\tau = M \notin \mathcal{M}$, contradicting the assumption. Hence we have

$$P \left(\tau \leq n_* \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^{n_*} \theta_i = 1 \right) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \{ \tau \leq n_* \} \cap \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n_*} \theta_i = 1 \right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{n_*}^M.$$

This again implies that $\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n_*} \theta_i = 1 \} \subset \{ \tau \leq n_* \}$, yielding $M^\tau \leq 2^{n_*}$, whence $M \in \mathcal{H}^1$.

REFERENCES

- Fefferman, C. and E. M. Stein (1972). H^p spaces of several variables. *Acta Math.* 129(3–4), 137–193.
- Nikeghbali, A. and M. Yor (2006). Doob's maximal identity, multiplicative decompositions and enlargements of filtrations. *Illinois J. Math.* 50(4), 791–814.
- Protter, P. E. (2005). *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations* (Second ed.). Berlin: Springer.

HARDY HULLEY, FINANCE DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SYDNEY, P.O. BOX 123, BROADWAY, NSW
2007, AUSTRALIA

Email address: `hardy.hulley@uts.edu.au`

JOHANNES RUF, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS AND POLITICAL SCIENCE,
HOUGHTON STREET, LONDON WC2A 2AE, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: `j.ruf@lse.ac.uk`